

ANNOTATED PATENT DIGEST

by Robert A. Matthews, Jr.

© 2005-07 Thomson-West, All Rights Reserved

CHAPTER 10 INFRINGEMENT ACTS

I. DIRECT INFRINGEMENT UNDER § 271(A)

§ 10:1 Overview of Direct Infringement

A. Making a Patented Article

§ 10:2 Making as an Infringing Offense

B. Using a Patented Article

§ 10:3 Using as an Infringing Offense

§ 10:4 Displaying at a Trade Show

§ 10:5 Testing

§ 10:6 Experimental Use

§ 10:7 Dissemination of Test Data or Other Information

§ 10:7.50 Distributing Accused Product

C. Selling a Patented Article

§ 10:8 Selling as an Infringing Offense

§ 10:9 Sale of Equipment Capable of Use in Infringing a Process Patent

§ 10:10 Situs of Sale

D. Offering Patented Article for Sale

§ 10:11 Offering a Product as an Infringing Act

§ 10:12 Defining “Offer for Sale”

§ 10:13 Determined Under Federal Patent Law

§ 10:14 Must be the Complete Invention

§ 10:15 Timing of Sale – § 271(i)

§ 10:16 No Liability for Offers Made Before January 1, 1996

§ 10:17 Displaying at a Trade Show

§ 10:18 Cases Finding Acts were an “Offer for Sale”

§ 10:19 Cases Finding Acts did Not Arise to an “Offer for Sale”

E. “Within the United States” – Territorial Limitation

§ 10:20 Infringing Act Must Occur “Within In the United States”

§ 10:20.50 Temporary Presence of a Vehicle in U.S. – § 272

§ 10:21 Territories and Possessions of the United States

§ 10:22 Making Within the United States

§ 10:23 Use Within the United States

§ 10:24 —Partial Use in the United States

§ 10:25 Sales Within the United States

§ 10:26 Offers to Sell Within the United States

F. Other Aspects of Direct Infringement

§ 10:27 Corporate Officers’ Personal Liability for Direct Infringement

§ 10:28 Alter-Ego Liability

§ 10:29 Jointly Performing Infringing Acts

§ 10:30 Supplying Components that Customers Later Modify

§ 10:31 Conspiracy to Commit Infringement

II. INDUCING INFRINGEMENT – § 271(B)

A. General Aspects of Inducing Infringement

§ 10:32 Inducing Infringement Under § 271(b)

§ 10:33 Joint and Several Liability with Direct Infringer

§ 10:34 Apparent Broad Interpretation of Proscribed Activities

§ 10:35 Basic Elements of Inducing Infringement

B. Requirement of Direct Infringement

§ 10:36 Prerequisite Showing of Direct Infringement

§ 10:37 Hypothetical Acts of Direct Infringement

§ 10:38 Future Acts of Direct Infringement

§ 10:39 Circumstantial Evidence of Direct Infringement

§ 10:40 Inducing Infringement of Method Claims Requires a Practice of the Method in the U.S.

§ 10:41 May Not Need to Expressly Plead Act of Direct Infringement

C. Requirement of Knowledge

- § 10:42 Knowledge Inducer Must Possess
- § 10:43 Knowledge of Patent
- § 10:44 Know or Should Know Acts Will Cause Infringement
- § 10:45 —Willful Infringement
- § 10:46 —"Should Have Known"
- § 10:47 —Doctrine of Equivalents
- § 10:48 Applicability of Opinion of Counsel to Knowledge Requirement
- § 10:49 —Invalidity Opinions May Not Suffice
- § 10:50 —Cases Finding Obtaining an Opinion Defeated Inducement Claims
- § 10:51 —Cases Finding Having an Opinion of Counsel Did Not Defeat Inducement Claim Because Opinion Incompetent
- § 10:52 —Cases Where Competent Opinion of Counsel Did Not Provide a Defense to an Inducement Claim

D. Requirement of Intent

- § 10:53 Intent to Cause the Acts of Infringement is a Prerequisite

E. Requirement of an Affirmative Act

- § 10:54 Inducing Infringement Requires an Affirmative Inducing Act
- § 10:55 Control of the Direct Infringer
- § 10:56 Extraterritorial Inducing Acts
- § 10:57 Preissuance Activities
- § 10:58 Inducing Inducement
- § 10:59 Federal Government Cannot Induce Infringement

F. Specific Types of Affirmative Inducing Acts

- § 10:60 Providing Instructions
- § 10:61 Advertising, Promoting, or Marketing
- § 10:62 Exercising Control over Manufacture of Infringing Product
- § 10:63 Supplying or Selling Product or Material Knowing it Will be Used in an Infringing Manner
- § 10:64 —Cases Finding Supplying Induced Infringement
- § 10:65 —Cases Finding Supplying Did Not Induce Infringement
- § 10:66 Promoting an Industry Standard
- § 10:67 Providing Indemnification Against Infringement Damages
- § 10:67.50 Licensing Trademark Affixed to Accused Product

G. Case Examples on Activities Alleged to Induce Infringement

- § 10:68 Activity Induced Infringement
- § 10:69 Activity Did Not Induce Infringement

H. Corporate Officer's Personal Liability For Inducing Infringement

- § 10:70 Imposing Liability on Corporate Officers for Inducing Corporation's Infringement
- § 10:71 Cases Finding Officers Liable
- § 10:72 Cases Finding Officers Were Not Liable

I. Corporate Parent's Liability For Inducing Subsidiary's Infringement

- § 10:72.25 {Reserved} Cases Finding Corporate Parent Liable for Inducing Subsidiary's Infringement
- § 10:72.50 Cases Finding Corporate Parent not Liable for Inducing Subsidiary's Infringement

III. CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT VIA COMPONENT PARTS OF PATENTED INVENTION SOLD IN U.S. – § 271(C)

- § 10:73 General Aspects of Contributory Infringement
- § 10:74 The Basic Elements of Contributory Infringement
- § 10:74.50 Geographic Limitations

A. Staple vs. Nonstaple Article

- § 10:75 The Requirement of a Nonstaple Article
- § 10:76 Serves to Balance Protecting a Patentee's Rights and Legitimate Trade
- § 10:77 "No Use" Standard for Nonstaple Articles
- § 10:78 Form of the Component as Sold, Offered, or Imported Controls
- § 10:79 Speculative, Theoretical, or Occasional Uses Do Not Suffice To Make Component a "Staple" Article
- § 10:80 Additional Structure Capable of Noninfringing Uses
- § 10:81 "Especially Made or Adapted"
- § 10:82 Foreign Sales Do Not Count as a Use
- § 10:83 Patentee May Control Market for the Unpatented Nonstaple Goods
- § 10:84 Cases Finding Staple Article with Noninfringing Uses
- § 10:85 Cases Finding Nonstaple Article with No Noninfringing Uses

B. Specific Elements to Show Contributory Infringement

- § 10:86 Material Part of the Invention
- § 10:87 Knew of Infringement
- § 10:88 Intent to Cause Infringement Not Necessary
- § 10:89 Reliance on Opinion of Counsel to Negate Knowledge

C. Other Aspects of Contributory Infringement

- § 10:90 Prerequisite Underlying Act of Direct Infringement
- § 10:91 Federal Government Cannot Contributorily Infringe
- § 10:92 Joint and Several Liability with Direct Infringer

IV. LIABILITY FOR IMPORTATION

- § 10:93 Definition of “Imports”

A. Importing Infringing Products

- § 10:94 Importing a Patented Product into the United States – § 271(a)
- § 10:95 Importing Nonstaple Components of a Patented Invention – § 271(c)

B. Importing Products Made Abroad by a Patented Process Under § 271(g)

- § 10:96 Overview of Prohibited Imports under § 271(g)
- § 10:97 Legislative History
- § 10:98 Importing Under § 271(g)
- § 10:99 Limited to Manufacturing Process that Actually Produce Physical Products
- § 10:100 Not Limited to Imported Products
- § 10:101 Patentee Bears Burden of Proof
- § 10:102 Products Not “Made By” a Patented Process

1. “Materially Changed”

- § 10:103 Legislative History of “Materially Changed”
- § 10:104 The Federal Circuit’s Treatment of “Materially Changed”
- § 10:105 Patentee’s Burden to Prove Not “Materially Changed”
- § 10:106 Cases Finding Product was “Materially Changed”
- § 10:107 Cases Finding Product was Not “Materially Changed”

2. “Trivial and Nonessential Component”

- § 10:108 Overview of “Trivial and Nonessential Component”

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 10:109 Legislative History of “Trivial and Nonessential Component”
- § 10:110 Cases on Whether Product was a Trivial and Nonessential Component
- § 10:111 Product’s Proximity to Process

3. Other Limitations on § 271(g)

- § 10:112 Patentee Must Proceed Against Importer First
- § 10:113 Temporal Limitations
- § 10:114 Notice Provision Impacting Liability in Limited Circumstances – § 287(b)
- § 10:115 Limited Intervening Rights
- § 10:116 Federal Government Not Liable

C. Importation Violating Section 337 of the Tariff Act

- § 10:117 Prohibited Importation Due to Patent Infringement
- § 10:118 The Requirement of a Domestic Industry
- § 10:119 Overview of ITC Proceedings
- § 10:120 Exclusion Orders
- § 10:121 Appeals to the Federal Circuit
- § 10:122 Parallel District Court Proceedings
- § 10:123 —Staying District Court Actions During Pendency of ITC Proceeding

V. LIABILITY FOR EXPORTING COMPONENT PARTS OF PATENTED INVENTION – § 271(F)

A. General Aspects of Imposing Infringement Liability for Exporting

- § 10:124 The Legal Landscape Before 1984
- § 10:125 The Enactment of § 271(f)
- § 10:126 § 271(f) is Indirect Infringement
- § 10:127 Does Not Apply to Completed or Fully Assembled Works
- § 10:128 Application to Process Patents
- § 10:129 Does Not Apply to Design Patents
- § 10:130 Arranging Foreign Sales
- § 10:131 Providing Instructions From United States, But Not Components
- § 10:132 Replication Abroad
- § 10:133 Supplied From vs. Made
- § 10:134 Does Not Apply to Offers to Supply

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.

Direct Dial: 434-525-1141

e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

B. § 271(f)(1) – Exportation of All or Substantial Portion of Components

- § 10:135 Staple Articles with Noninfringing Use Can Fall Within § 271(f)(1)
- § 10:136 § 271(f)(1) – All or Substantially All of the Components
- § 10:137 Not Limited to Exportation to Third Parties

C. § 271(f)(2) – Exportation of an Especially Made Non-staple Component

- § 10:138 Infringement from Exporting a Nonstaple Component

VI. HATCH-WAXMAN ACT – § 271(E)

A. Exception from Liability for Supplying Data to Government Agency Regulating Drugs or Veterinary Biological Products

- § 10:139 FDA Submission Infringement Exemption Under § 271(e)(1)
- § 10:140 § 271(e)(1) Exemption Applies to Medical Devices
- § 10:141 Research Tools

B. ANDA Filings for Generic Drugs and Paper NDAs

- § 10:142 In General
- § 10:143 FDA Submission Exemption under § 271(e)(1) for Generic Drugs
- § 10:144 Paper New Drug Application
- § 10:145 Generic Manufacturer’s “Exclusivity” Period

C. Generic’s Certification Requirements

- § 10:146 New Drug Manufacturer’s Listings of its Patents in the “Orange Book”
- § 10:147 ANDA Applicant’s Certification of Patent Expiration, Noninfringement, or Invalidity
- § 10:148 No Requirement to Provide Certification for Uses Not Listed in ANDA
- § 10:149 Actions to Delist Patents from Orange Book

D. Thirty-Month Automatic Stay of FDA Approval

- § 10:150 Patentee has 45 days to File Suit to Get 30-Month Stay
- § 10:151 Additional Stays Based on Later-Listed Patents Not Permitted
- § 10:152 Extending 30-Month Stay
- § 10:153 Cases Denying Requested Extension of Stay
- § 10:153.25 Cases Shortening Stay
- § 10:153.50 Cases Extending Stay

E. Declaratory Judgment by an ANDA Applicant

- § 10:154 Within the Forty-Five Day Period
- § 10:155 After the Forty-Five Day Period
- § 10:156 No Monetary Damages

F. Infringement Under § 271(e)(2)

1. Infringement from Paragraph IV Certification Under § 271(e)(2)

- § 10:157 ANDA Paragraph IV Certification Infringing Act under § 271(e)(2)(A)
- § 10:158 Infringement Inquiry Focuses on Drug as Described in the ANDA
- § 10:159 Collateral Estoppel Aspects for Infringement Charge on Actual Product under § 271(a)
- § 10:160 Off-Label Uses Not Within Scope of § 271(e)(2)
- § 10:161 Duty to Expedite Litigation
- § 10:162 Does Not Cover Method of Making the Drug

2. Inducing or Contributory Infringement Under § 271(e)(2)

- § 10:163 Indirect Infringement May Fall Within Scope of § 271(e)(2)
- § 10:164 Generic Drug Would Induce Infringement of a Method Patent
- § 10:165 Inducing the Filing of the ANDA Application
- § 10:166 Generic's Waiving of Exclusivity Period so Second Generic Can Enter Market

3. Remedies for Infringement under § 271(e)

- § 10:167 Injunctive Relief and Compensatory Damages
- § 10:168 Attorney Fees and Considerations of Willful Infringement

VII. INFRINGEMENT OF PROCESS PATENTS

A. General Aspects of Infringement of a Process or Method Patent

- § 10:169 Statutory Definition of "Process"
- § 10:170 Analyzed Same as Product Claims
- § 10:171 Steps of Process Performed by Different Entities
- § 10:171.50 Sale or Offer to Sell Claimed Process
- § 10:172 Offer to Sell Machine that Carries Out Process

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

B. Products Made by a Patented Process

§ 10:173 Scope of Liability for Using Products Made with Patented Process –
§ 271(g)

1. Presumption Product Made by Patented Process – 35 U.S.C. § 295

§ 10:174 In General
§ 10:175 May Not Apply to Domestic Accused Infringers
§ 10:175.50 Cases Applying Presumption
§ 10:176 Cases Refusing to Apply Presumption

C. Unique Defenses for Patents on Business Methods

§ 10:177 Prior Use by Earlier Inventor Under § 273

VIII. PRODUCT-BY-PROCESS CLAIMS

§ 10:178 General Aspects of Product-by-Process Claims
§ 10:179 Infringement Determinations

IX. INFRINGEMENT OF PLANT PATENTS

§ 10:180 General Aspects of Infringement of Plant Patents
§ 10:181 Independent Creation is a Defense
§ 10:182 Infringement Under Plant Variety Protection Act