

ANNOTATED PATENT DIGEST

by Robert A. Matthews, Jr.

© 2005-07 Thomson-West, All Rights Reserved

CHAPTER 21 BEST MODE

I. GENERAL ASPECTS OF THE BEST MODE REQUIREMENT

- § 21:1 Statutory Requirement to Disclose the “Best Mode” Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 1
- § 21:2 Serves to Prevent Concealment of the Most Preferred Mode
- § 21:3 Separate and Distinct from Other § 112 Requirements
- § 21:4 Failure to Disclose *any* Mode Does Not Necessarily Implicate Best Mode
- § 21:5 Applicable to Each Inventor Individually

II. PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF INVALIDITY CHALLENGE

- § 21:6 Affirmative Defense that Must be Pled
- § 21:7 Question of Fact Challenger Must Prove by Clear and Convincing Evidence
- § 21:8 Standard of Review on Appeal
- § 21:9 Evaluated on a Per Claim Basis
- § 21:10 Assessed as of Application Filing Date
- § 21:11 — Continuation Applications
- § 21:12 — Claims of Foreign Priority
- § 21:13 — Reissue Applications
- § 21:14 Inventor Testimony
- § 21:15 Best Mode May be Determined in an Interference Proceeding

III. STANDARDS FOR DETERMINING BEST-MODE COMPLIANCE

- § 21:16 In General
- § 21:17 Best Mode Limited to the Claimed Invention
- § 21:18 First Step – Determine the “Invention” by Properly Construing the Claims
- § 21:19 Two-Part Subjective/Objective Test

A. The Subjective Prong – The Inventor’s Personal Recognition of a Best Mode

- § 21:20 Determining Whether the Inventor had a Best Mode

- § 21:21 Must Disclose the Mode the Inventor Subjectively Believes is Best, Disclosing Other Modes Does Not Suffice
- § 21:22 Inventor Need Not Investigate to Find the Optimum Best Mode
- § 21:23 Variations in Use of the Invention do Not Equate to Best Mode
- § 21:24 When the Inventor Subjectively Does Not Have a Best Mode
- § 21:25 Inventor Only Contemplated One Mode
- § 21:26 Cannot Impute Knowledge of Mode to an Inventor
- § 21:27 Persons Other than the Inventor May Develop the “Best Mode”
- § 21:28 What Motivated Developing the Best Mode Irrelevant
- § 21:29 Commercial Embodiment Not Necessarily the Best Mode

B. The Objective Prong – Judging Sufficiency of the Best-Mode Disclosure

- § 21:30 In General
- § 21:31 Sufficiency of Disclosure is Measured from Perspective of a Person Skilled in the Art
- § 21:32 A General Reference to the Best Mode May or May Not Suffice
- § 21:33 Implicit Disclosures
- § 21:34 Functional Disclosures May be Sufficient
- § 21:35 Working Examples are Optional
- § 21:36 Deposits of Biological Materials
- § 21:37 No Requirement to Identify which Disclosed Mode is the Best Mode
- § 21:38 Should Disclose Best Mode Even if it is Known in the Art
- § 21:39 Details of Publicly Available Information Generally Need Not be Disclosed
- § 21:40 —Prohibition on Using Post-Filing Publications and Patents to Show State of the Art
- § 21:41 Ability to Reverse Engineer and Discover the Undisclosed Best Mode Does Not Cure Nondisclosure
- § 21:42 Disclosure of Possible Problems in Practicing Invention
- § 21:43 Trade-Secret Status Does Not Trump Disclosure Requirement

C. Intent to Conceal

- § 21:44 Necessity of Intent to Conceal
- § 21:45 Inventor’s Post-Filing Public Disclosures Affecting Concealment

D. Unclaimed Elements

- § 21:46 Generally No Obligation to Disclose Best Mode of Unclaimed Elements
- § 21:47 Nonclaimed Aspects Necessary to Practice the Invention as Claimed

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 21:48 Nonclaimed Mode Necessary for Operation of Overall Device But Not Necessary to the Claimed Invention

E. Production or Routine Details

- § 21:49 In General
- § 21:50 Production Details Not Affecting the Nature, Quality or Performance of the Invention Need Not be Disclosed
- § 21:51 Production Details Relevant to Mass Producing Invention, But Not Practicing Invention, Need Not be Disclosed
- § 21:52 Production Details for Specific Commercial Embodiment
- § 21:53 Production Details Critical to Invention Must be Disclosed
- § 21:54 Routine Production Details
- § 21:55 Starting Materials
- § 21:56 Production Details Regarding Suppliers and Equipment on Hand do Not Have to be Disclosed
- § 21:57 Trade Names and Sources of Supply
- § 21:58 Software and Source Code

IV. BEST MODE AND CONTINUATION PRACTICE

- § 21:59 Best Mode Disclosure Need Not be Updated in Continuation Applications
- § 21:60 Should Provide Best Mode on New Matter in CIP Applications

V. BEST MODE AND INEQUITABLE CONDUCT

- § 21:61 In General
- § 21:62 No Inequitable Conduct if Intent to Deceive is Lacking
- § 21:63 Knowingly Providing False Mode

VI. CORRECTING DEFECTS IN BEST-MODE DISCLOSURE

- § 21:64 Amending the Written Description
- § 21:65 Seeking to Add Best Mode by Reissue

VII. CASE EXAMPLES ADDRESSING BEST MODE

A. Best Mode Violated

- § 21:66 Affirming Finding of a Best-mode violation
- § 21:67 Reversing Finding of No Best-mode violation

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

B. Best Mode Not Violated

- § 21:68 Affirming Finding of No Best-mode violation
- § 21:69 Reversing Finding of Best-mode violation