

ANNOTATED PATENT DIGEST

by Robert A. Matthews, Jr.
© 2005-07 Thomson-West, All Rights Reserved

CHAPTER 36 JURISDICTION & VENUE

I. DISTRICT COURT'S SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION IN PATENT ACTIONS

- § 36:1 The Requirement of Jurisdiction
- § 36:2 Subject-Matter Jurisdiction of Patent Actions Under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)
 - A. Implication of Federal Patent Law**
 - § 36:3 The Extent of “Arising Under” in § 1338(a)
 - § 36:4 Determining if a “Substantial Issue” of Patent Law is Raised
 - § 36:5 All Theories of the Cause of Action Must Implicate Patent Law
 - § 36:6 Patent Claim Need Only be Nonfrivolous
 - § 36:7 Patent Law Providing a Defense does Not “Arise Under”
 - § 36:8 Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement, Invalidity, or Unenforceability
 - § 36:9 Infringement Counterclaim
 - § 36:10 —Possibility of Adjudicating a Patent-Infringement Counterclaim in a State Court
 - § 36:11 Expiration of Patent
 - § 36:12 Defense of License or Assignment does Not Defeat Subject-Matter Jurisdiction
 - § 36:13 Breach of the Contract That Licensed or Assigned the Patent
 - § 36:14 —Reference to License Agreement to Aide in Proving Damages
 - § 36:15 —Case Examples on Jurisdictional Issues Regarding Enforcement of Licenses and Contracts
 - § 36:16 Challenge to Validity of Assignment or License, but Not Its Construction
 - § 36:17 Breach of Settlement Agreement of Prior Infringement Action
 - § 36:18 —District Court Retained Jurisdiction to Enforce the Settlement Agreement

B. Proving Subject-Matter Jurisdiction

- § 36:19 Question of Law

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.

Direct Dial: 434-525-1141

e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 36:20 Federal Circuit Law Controls
- § 36:21 Jurisdiction Criteria Initially Determined at Complaint Stage
- § 36:22 Defendant's Defenses Not Relevant to Determining Jurisdiction
- § 36:23 Preponderance of the Evidence
- § 36:24 Standards When Considered on a Motion to Dismiss
- § 36:25 Amending Pleadings to Correct Jurisdiction Allegations
- § 36:26 Award of Costs Under 28 U.S.C. § 191

C. Jurisdiction Over Other Claims Brought with Patent Claim

- § 36:27 Supplemental Jurisdiction – 28 U.S.C. § 1367
- § 36:27.50 Supplemental Jurisdiction of Claims of Foreign Patent Infringement
- § 36:28 Unfair Competition Claims – 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b)

II. FEDERAL CIRCUIT'S SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1295

A. In General

- § 36:29 Federal Circuit's Jurisdiction Over Patent Matters
- § 36:30 Federal Circuit Law Controls in Determining if It has Jurisdiction
- § 36:31 Federal Circuit's Jurisdiction Not Limited to Actions Under Title 35
- § 36:32 Federal Circuit has Jurisdiction to Determine its Own Jurisdiction
- § 36:33 Mootness

B. Requirement of Finality

- § 36:34 The "Final Judgment Rule"
- § 36:35 Patent Infringement Orders Final Except for Accounting – 28 U.S.C. § 1292(c)(2)
- § 36:36 Orders Final Except for Award of Attorney Fees or Costs
- § 36:37 Rule 54(b) Certifications When Multiple Claims Present
- § 36:37.25 — Patent Related Cases Granting Rule 54(b) Certification
- § 36:37.50 — Patent Related Cases Denying Rule 54(b) Certification
- § 36:38 Exception to Finality when Reviewing Judgment Ancillary to an Appealable Judgment
- § 36:39 Premature Notices of Appeal
- § 36:40 Summary Judgments
- § 36:41 Stay Orders
- § 36:42 Collateral-Order Doctrine

- § 36:42.50 Jurisdiction of Appeal of a Denial of a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction

C. Jurisdiction of Patent Infringement Claims

- § 36:43 Patent Claim is Part of Original Complaint
§ 36:44 Patent Claim Added by an Amendment to the Complaint
§ 36:45 Infringement Counterclaims do Not Create Arising Under Jurisdiction
§ 36:46 Patent Claims Dismissed without Prejudice
§ 36:47 Patent Claims Dismissed with Prejudice

D. Jurisdiction of Declaratory Judgment Claims

- § 36:48 Jurisdiction of Declaratory Judgments Claims of Noninfringement, Invalidity, or Unenforceability
§ 36:49 Jurisdiction When Declaratory Judgment Claim Dismissed for Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction

**E. Jurisdiction for Interlocutory Orders
Relating to Injunctions Under § 1292(c)(1)**

- § 36:50 Interlocutory Orders Regarding Injunctions
§ 36:51 Dismissal of Infringement Counterclaim Seeking Injunctive Relief

F. Certified Questions Under § 1292(b)

- § 36:52 Federal Circuit's Jurisdiction to Hear Certified Questions

**G. Miscellaneous Aspects of Federal Circuit's
Subject Matter Jurisdiction**

- § 36:53 Appeal of Whether District Court had § 1338(a) Jurisdiction
§ 36:54 Ownership or Licensing Disputes
§ 36:54.50 Appeals of Decisions from the Patent Office
§ 36:55 Appeal of Decisions from the ITC
§ 36:56 Transferring Appeals to Regional Circuit – 28 U.S.C. § 1631
§ 36:57 Amending Allegations of Jurisdiction on Appeal

II. PERSONAL JURISDICTION

A. General Aspects

- § 36:58 District Court Must Have Personal Jurisdiction Over Defendant
§ 36:59 Separate from Subject-Matter Jurisdiction

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

B. General Test of Personal Jurisdiction

- § 36:60 General Two-Part Test for Personal Jurisdiction
- § 36:61 Amenable to Service of Process
- § 36:62 Service of Process (Summons)
- § 36:63 —On an Individual – Rule 4(e) & (f)
- § 36:64 —On a Corporation – Rule 4(h)
- § 36:65 —On Accused Infringer’s Agents Under § 1694
- § 36:66 Patent Long-Arm Statute – 35 U.S.C. § 293

C. Scope of State Long-Arm Statutes

- § 36:67 States With Long-Arm Statutes Coextensive with Due Process
- § 36:68 States With Long-Arm Statutes Not Coextensive with Due Process

D. Minimum Contacts with the Forum Required by Due Process

- § 36:69 General Standards for Determining Whether Sufficient Minimum Contacts Exist
- § 36:70 Must Meet “Minimum Contacts” as to each Defendant
- § 36:71 —Related Parties – Corporate Officers, Employees, or Holding Corporations
- § 36:72 —Predecessor’s Contacts – Successor-Corporation Liability Theory
- § 36:73 —Agent’s Acts
- § 36:74 —Subsidiary or Parent Corporation
- § 36:75 —Subsidiary Patent-Holding Company
- § 36:76 —Shareholders
- § 36:77 —Assignees
- § 36:78 —Employees
- § 36:79 —Corporate Officers
- § 36:80 —Licensees
- § 36:81 Foreseeability
- § 36:82 Defendant’s Contracts and Commercial Agreements in Forum State
- § 36:83 Geographical Scope of Defendant’s Conduct Relating to the Claim or Relief Sought
- § 36:84 Unilateral Acts of Others
- § 36:85 Timing of Contacts
- § 36:86 Plaintiff’s Contacts with Forum
- § 36:87 “Minimum Contacts” Standard Applies to *In Rem* and *Quasi In Rem* Actions

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

E. Specific Personal Jurisdiction

- § 36:88 Test for Determining Specific Personal Jurisdiction
- § 36:89 Activities Directed at Residents of Forum State
- § 36:90 When a Claim “Relates” to Activities
- § 36:91 “Stream of Commerce”
- § 36:92 —Applied to Patent Matters

F. General Personal Jurisdiction

- § 36:93 Test for Determining General Personal Jurisdiction
- § 36:94 Purchasing Goods in Forum

G. Comporting with Due Process and Not Constitutionally Unreasonable

- § 36:95 Determining if Exercise of Jurisdiction is Constitutionally Reasonable
- § 36:96 High Showing of Reasonableness May Lessen Required Showing of Minimum Contacts
- § 36:97 Reasonableness Can’t Trump Lack of Minimum Contacts
- § 36:98 Party Opposing Jurisdiction May Bear Burden of Showing Unreasonableness
- § 36:99 State’s Interest Regarding Patent Matters
- § 36:100 Foreign Defendants
- § 36:101 Personally Served in Forum Negates Reasonableness Requirement

H. Internet Activities Affecting Personal Jurisdiction

- § 36:102 General Standards Applied In Determining Whether Internet Activities Can Give Rise to Personal Jurisdiction
- § 36:103 Cases Finding Web Site Gave Personal Jurisdiction
- § 36:104 Cases Finding Web Site did not Give Personal Jurisdiction

I. Accused Infringer’s Conduct that May or May Not Establish Personal Jurisdiction

- § 36:105 Making Product in Forum
- § 36:105.50 Selling Accused Product in Forum
- § 36:106 Putting Accused Product Into Stream of Commerce
- § 36:107 Sending Offers into Forum State
- § 36:108 Submission of New Drug Application
- § 36:109 Preissuance Acts of Accused Infringer
- § 36:110 Post-Complaint Acts of Infringement

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 36:111 Serving as a Holding Company of Another Accused Infringer
- § 36:111.50 Accused Infringer's Ownership of U.S. Patents

J. Patentee's Conduct that May or May Not Establish Personal Jurisdiction for Declaratory-Judgment Action

- § 36:112 Cease-and-Desist Letters or other Enforcement Threats
- § 36:113 Patentee's Receipt of License Revenues
- § 36:114 Offering Exclusive License Within Forum State
- § 36:115 Patentee's Efforts to Sell Patented Technology in Forum State

K. Procedural Aspects of Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction

- § 36:116 Federal Circuit Law Controls for Patent-Related Claims
- § 36:117 Question of Law
- § 36:118 Parties' Respective Proof Burdens
- § 36:119 *A Prima Facie* Case Suffices when Decided Without Evidentiary Hearing
- § 36:119.50 Jurisdictional Discovery
- § 36:120 Waiving Defense
- § 36:121 Contracting Away Defense Via Forum Selection Clause
- § 36:122 Defendant's Filing of Counterclaims
- § 36:123 Defendant's Filing Own Suit in Forum
- § 36:123.50 Transferring Action to Cure Lack of Personal Jurisdiction

L. Case Examples Regarding Personal Jurisdiction

1. Supreme Court Cases on Minimum Contacts

- § 36:124 Contacts Sufficient to Exercise Personal Jurisdiction
- § 36:125 Contacts Insufficient to Exercise Personal Jurisdiction

2. Case Examples Regarding Accused Infringers

- § 36:126 Finding General Personal Jurisdiction Over Accused Infringer
- § 36:127 Finding No General Personal Jurisdiction Over Accused Infringer
- § 36:128 Finding Specific Personal Jurisdiction Over Accused Infringer
- § 36:129 Finding No Specific Personal Jurisdiction Over Accused Infringer

3. Case Examples Regarding Patentees

- § 36:130 Finding Personal Jurisdiction Over Patentee for Accused Infringer's Declaratory-Judgment Claim
- § 36:131 Finding No Personal Jurisdiction Over Patentee for Accused Infringer's Declaratory-Judgment Claim
- § 36:132 Bad Faith Enforcement and Unfair Competition Claims Against a Patentee
- {Reserved} Patentees and Omitted Inventors

III. ARBITRATION OF PATENT ISSUES

- § 36:133 Enforceability of Agreements to Arbitrate
- § 36:134 Appealability of Order Denying or Compelling Arbitration
- § 36:135 Review of Stay of Infringement Suit Pending Resolution of Arbitration Decision

IV. VENUE FOR PATENT ACTIONS

A. General Aspects of Venue

- § 36:136 In General
- § 36:137 General Venue Provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)
- § 36:138 Waiving Defense of Lack of Venue
- § 36:139 Venue for Patent Infringement Actions Under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)
- § 36:140 Venue for Declaratory-Judgment Actions
- § 36:141 Venue for Infringement Counterclaims
- § 36:142 Venue for Interfering Patent Suits
- § 36:143 Residence of Corporate Defendant
- § 36:144 Imputing Corporation's Venue to Related Corporations
- § 36:145 Imputing Corporation's Venue to Corporate Officers
- § 36:146 Aliens
- § 36:147 Transferring Venue to Cure Venue Defect Under 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a)

B. Transferring Venue for the Convenience of Parties

- § 36:148 General Aspects of Transferring Venue Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)
- § 36:149 Regional Circuit Law Controls

1. Standards for Transferring Action

- § 36:150 In General
- § 36:151 "Heavy Burden" of Showing Transferee Forum Clear More Convenient

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.

Direct Dial: 434-525-1141

e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 36:152 Transferee Court Must have been One Where Suit Could Have Been Brought
- § 36:153 Plaintiff's Choice of Forum
- § 36:154 Relative Size of Parties and Impact of Costs of Litigating in Different Forum
- § 36:155 Residence of Related Subsidiaries
- § 36:156 "Center of Gravity of the Infringing Activity"
- § 36:157 Judicial Economy and Avoiding Piecemeal Litigation in Different Forums
- § 36:158 Counsel's Location
- § 36:159 Witness's Location
- § 36:160 Document Location
- § 36:161 Defendant's State of Incorporation
- § 36:162 Court Congestion

2. Other Aspects of Transfer Under § 1404(a)

- § 36:163 Severing Defendants to Enable Transfer
- § 36:164 —Severing Infringement Claims Against Distributors or Resellers
- § 36:165 Appellate Review of Transfer of Venue Decision

3. Case Examples of § 1404(a) Transfer Motions in Patent Actions

- § 36:166 Cases Transferring Patent Action
- § 36:167 Cases Refusing to Transfer Patent Action

V. ACTIONS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES

- § 36:168 Jurisdiction and Venue Provision of § 1498

VI. ACTIONS AGAINST A STATE OR STATE INSTRUMENTALITY

- § 36:169 State's Liability for Patent Infringement
- § 36:170 States Retain Sovereign Immunity
- § 36:170.50 States May be Subject to Injunctions Against Infringement
- § 36:171 Federal Circuit Law Controls
- § 36:172 State's Waiving its Immunity by Originally Bringing Claims
- § 36:173 Liability for Inducing State's Infringement
- § 36:174 Correction of Inventorship
- § 36:177 Immunity for Recognized Indian Tribes