

## ANNOTATED PATENT DIGEST

by Robert A. Matthews, Jr.

© 2005-07 Thomson-West, All Rights Reserved

### CHAPTER 39 PRE-TRIAL PROCEDURE

#### I. NOTICE PLEADING IN THE COMPLAINT, ANSWER & COUNTERCLAIMS

- § 39:1 General Aspects of Notice Pleading in a Complaint
  - A. Pleading Theories of Infringement**
    - § 39:2 Notice Pleading of Infringement Claims
    - § 39:3 Form 16 – Sample of an Adequate Infringement Complaint
    - § 39:4 Identifying Accused Product
    - § 39:5 Identifying Specific Patent Claims
    - § 39:5.50 Allegations of Validity
    - § 39:6 Pleading Contributory Infringement and Induced Infringement
    - § 39:7 Pleading Liability of Corporate Officers for Infringing Acts of Corporation
    - § 39:7.5 Pleading Liability of Parent and Other Related Corporate Entities
    - § 39:8 Pleading Compliance with the Marking Requirement
    - § 39:9 Pleading Willful Infringement
    - B. Pleading Patent-Related Defenses and Counterclaims**
      - § 39:10 Pleading Invalidity Defenses
      - § 39:11 Pleading Inequitable Conduct Under Rule 9(b)
      - § 39:12 Pleading Unclean Hands Based on Inequitable Conduct
      - § 39:13 Pleading Patent Misuse
      - § 39:13.50 Pleading Laches and Equitable Estoppel Defenses
      - § 39:14 Pleading Reverse Doctrine of Equivalents
      - § 39:14.50 Pleading Antitrust *Walker-Process* Fraud Claims Against a Patentee
      - § 39:15 Pleading Unfair Competition or Antitrust Claims Against a Patentee
      - C. Defenses Commonly Pled that May Not Need to be Pled**
        - § 39:16 Pleading “Failure to State a Claim”

# LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

*Intellectual Property Law, LLP*

**ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.**  
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141  
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

§ 39:17 Pleading Prosecution History Estoppel

## **D. Admissions in Pleadings**

§ 39:18 Verified Complaint

§ 39:19 Judicial Admissions in Pleadings

## **E. Compulsory Counterclaims**

§ 39:20 Standards to Find Counterclaim Compulsory

§ 39:21 Infringement Claim Compulsory to DJ Action

§ 39:22 Invalidity or Unenforceability Declaratory-Judgment Claim Compulsory to Infringement Claim

§ 39:23 Antitrust or Bad-Faith Enforcement Claims Based on Alleged Inequitable Conduct

§ 39:24 Patentee's Trade Secret or Unfair Competition Claims

§ 39:25 Defendant's Infringement Counterclaim of its own Patents

## **II. MOTIONS TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(B)(6)**

§ 39:26 Standards

§ 39:27 Permissible to Allege Additional Facts

§ 39:28 With or Without Prejudice

§ 39:29 Matters Outside the Pleadings

§ 39:30 Converting to a Summary Judgment Motion

§ 39:31 Case Examples

## **III. OTHER MOTIONS TO DISPOSE OF CLAIMS OR DEFENSES**

§ 39:32 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings – Rule 12(c)

§ 39:33 Motion to Strike Affirmative Defenses

§ 39:34 Motions for Voluntary Dismissal Under Rules 41(a) & (c)

## **IV. MOTIONS TO AMEND UNDER RULE 15(A) OR (B)**

### **A. General Aspects of Motions to Amend Pleadings**

§ 39:35 Standards for Granting or Denying Leave to Amend

§ 39:36 Standards for Adding Omitted Counterclaims

§ 39:37 Regional Circuit Law Controls

§ 39:38 General Aspects of Denying Leave to Amend

§ 39:39 Denied Due to Undue Delay

# LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

*Intellectual Property Law, LLP*

**ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.**  
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141  
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 39:40 Amendments Sought After Scheduling Order Deadline
- § 39:41 Leave Sought After Summary Judgment Motion Filed
- § 39:42 Futility
- § 39:43 By Operation of Law Under Rule 15(b)
- § 39:44 Post-Judgment Amendments
- § 39:45 Relation Back of Amendment
- § 39:46 Motion for Leave Does not Make Claims or Defense Part of Case Until Granted

## **B. Cases Examples Seeking Amendments to Claims or Defenses in Patent Matters**

### **1. Adding Defense of Inequitable Conduct**

- § 39:47 Denying Motion to Amend to Assert Inequitable Conduct Based on Undue Delay
- § 39:48 Denying Leave to Amend Based on Futility
- § 39:49 Granting Motion to Amend to Assert Inequitable Conduct

### **2. Adding Infringement Allegations Based on Another Patent**

- § 39:50 Granting Motion to Amend to Add Another Patent
- § 39:51 Denying Motion to Amend to Add Another Patent

### **3. Deleting an Asserted Patent**

- § 39:52 Amendments Seeking to Remove an Asserted Patent

### **4. Adding Additional Accused Product**

- § 39:53 Denying Motion to Add Additional Accused Product
- {Reserved} § 39:53.50 Granting Motion to Add Additional Accused Product

### **5. Adding Additional Infringement Allegations**

- § 39:54 Cases Granting Leave to Add Additional Infringement Claims for Same Asserted Patent
- § 39:54.50 Cases Denying Leave to Add Additional Infringement Claims for Same Asserted Patent

### **6. Adding Additional Affirmative Defense**

- § 39:55 Granting Leave to Amend to Add Affirmative Defenses
- § 39:55.25 Denying Leave to Amend to Add Affirmative Defenses

**7. Adding Unfair Competition Claims of  
Bad-Faith Enforcement Against Patentee**

§ 39:55.50 Granting Leave to Amend

**C. Supplemental Pleadings Under Rule 15(d)**

§ 39:56 General Aspects of Supplemental Pleadings

§ 39:57 Supplemental Complaints to Allege Continuing Acts of Infringement

§ 39:58 Supplemental Complaints to Allege Infringement of an Additional Newly Issued Patent

§ 39:59 Supplemental Complaints to Allege Reexamination Certificates

§ 39:60 Supplemental Complaints to Allege Claims from a Newly Reissued Patent

**V. ADDING, CHANGING, OR SEVERING PARTIES**

**A. General Aspects of Procedural Rules Applicable to Modifying Parties**

§ 39:61 Regional Circuit Law Controls

§ 39:62 Changing Parties by Amending Pleadings Under Rule 15(a), (c), or (d)

§ 39:63 Naming Real Party in Interest – Rule 17(a)

§ 39:64 Involuntary Joinder – Rule 19(a)

§ 39:65 Indispensable Party – Rule 19(b)

§ 39:66 Permissive Joinder of Parties – Rule 20

§ 39:67 Misjoinder or Non-Joinder of Parties – Rule 21

§ 39:68 Intervention of Parties – Rule 24

§ 39:69 Substitution of Parties – Rule 25

§ 39:70 Changing Parties on Appeal

**B. Adding Parties to a Counterclaim**

§ 39:71 In General

§ 39:72 Is Leave of Court Required?

§ 39:73 Applied Specifically to Patent Cases

**C. Case Examples Seeking to Change Parties**

§ 39:74 Joining an Infringer

§ 39:75 Joining a Named Party's Corporate Officer

§ 39:76 Joining a Patentee

§ 39:77 Joining an Exclusive Licensee

§ 39:78 Miscellaneous Joinder Cases

# LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

*Intellectual Property Law, LLP*

**ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.**  
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141  
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

§ 39:79 Severing Accused Infringer

## **VI. MOTION TO STAY**

§ 39:80 Seeking Stay of Court Proceedings

§ 39:80.50 Automatic Stay Due to Filing of Bankruptcy Petition

## **VII. SEPARATING (BIFURCATING) CLAIMS – RULE 21 AND 42(B)**

### **A. In General**

§ 39:81 General Aspects of Bifurcation

§ 39:82 Specifics of Rule 42(b) and Factors Considering in Bifurcating

§ 39:83 Does Not Destroy Jurisdiction

§ 39:84 Effect on Finality for Appeal

### **B. Other Types of Separations**

§ 39:85 Determining Less than All Issues But Not in Separate Trials – Rule 54(b)

§ 39:86 Separating Parties with No Claims Against Each Other – Rule 20(b)

§ 39:87 Review by Federal Circuit

### **C. Specific Patent-Related Case Examples Addressing Motions to Separate or Bifurcate**

§ 39:88 Multiple Patents Asserted as Being Infringed

§ 39:89 Antitrust and/or Unfair Competition Claims Against Patentee from Infringement Claim

§ 39:90 Invalidity from Infringement Liability

§ 39:91 Damages from Infringement Liability

§ 39:92 Willfulness from Infringement Liability and Validity

§ 39:92.50 Laches or Equitable Estoppel from Infringement

§ 39:92.75 Inequitable Conduct from Other Liability Issues

§ 39:92.90 Third-Party Breach of Warranty and Indemnity Claims from Infringement

## **VIII. CONSOLIDATING ISSUES OR ACTIONS**

### **A. In General**

§ 39:93 Courts May Order Consolidation of Hearings or Trial

§ 39:94 May Consolidate Segments of Proceedings or Entire Action

# LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

*Intellectual Property Law, LLP*

---

**ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.**  
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141  
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 39:95 Burden of Proof
- § 39:96 Later Separation
- § 39:97 District Court’s Discretion
- § 39:98 May Consolidate Without Parties’ Consent
- § 39:99 No Loss of Separate Identity
- § 39:100 Cases Must be Before Same Court
- § 39:101 Does Not Trump Compulsory Counterclaim Rule

## **B. Factors Relevant to Consolidation**

- § 39:102 Common Question of Fact or Law
- § 39:103 Individual Fact Issues Can Predominate Over Common Legal Theories
- § 39:104 Judicial Economy
- § 39:105 Balance Judicial Economy with Prejudice to Parties
- § 39:106 Minimize Risk of Inconsistent Judgments
- § 39:107 Discovery at Different Stages – Delays of Initial Actions
- § 39:108 Right to Jury Trial in One Action but Not in Other Action

## **C. Other Aspects of Consolidation**

- § 39:109 Transfer and Consolidation for Pretrial Matters Under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a)  
– Multidistrict Litigation
- § 39:110 Effect on “Finality” for Purposes of Appeal

## **D. Specific Types of Patent Cases on Consolidation**

- § 39:111 Multiple Infringement Actions for Same Patent with Different Accused Infringers With Different Products
- § 39:112 Multiple Infringement Actions for Same Patent with Same Accused Infringers But Different Products
- § 39:113 Multiple Infringement Actions for Same Patent with Different ANDAs
- § 39:114 Multiple Infringement Actions for Different Patents Against Same Parties
- § 39:115 Multiple Infringement Actions for Different Patents Against Different Parties
- § 39:116 Consolidate Antitrust Claims Against Patentee with Infringement Claim
- § 39:117 Patent Ownership

## **IX. RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL**

### **A. In General**

- § 39:118 Supreme Court Cases Addressing Right to Jury Trial
- § 39:119 General Aspects of Rules 38 & 39
- § 39:120 Waiving Right to Jury Trial
- § 39:121 Advisory Jury
- § 39:122 Seeking Mandamus if Jury Trial Denied

### **B. Patent Infringement Claims and Invalidity Defenses**

- § 39:123 Procedural Aspects of Determining a Right to Jury Trial in Patent Cases
- § 39:124 Patent Cases Not Beyond Jury's Ken
- § 39:125 Right to Jury Trial Exists when Patentee Seeks Money Damages for Infringement
- § 39:126 —Effect of Bankruptcy on Right to Jury Trial for Infringement Claim
- § 39:127 Patentee Does Not or Cannot Seek Money Damages
- § 39:128 Patent-Related Declaratory Judgment Claims
- § 39:1290 Invalidity Asserted as an Affirmative Defense
- § 39:129.50 Right to Jury Trial in a § 1498 Action
- § 39:130 Right to Jury Trial on Issue of Willfulness of Infringement

### **D. Inequitable Conduct Challenges**

- § 39:131 Inequitable Conduct Asserted as an Affirmative Defense
- § 39:132 Unenforceability Asserted as a Declaratory-Judgment Counterclaim

### **E. Other Equitable Defenses**

- § 39:133 Laches
- § 39:134 Equitable Estoppel
- § 39:135 Patent Misuse

### **F. ANDA Cases Under § 271(e)(2)**

- § 39:136 Cases Finding No Right to Jury Trial
- § 39:137 Cases Finding Right to Jury Trial Existed

## **X. JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT FORMS**

### **A. General Procedural Requirements for Jury Instructions and Verdict Forms**

- § 39:138 Procedural Requirement of Jury Instructions Under Rule 51
- § 39:139 Objections to Jury Instructions
- § 39:140 —Wavier from Failing to Object
- § 39:141 —Objections Must be Specific
- § 39:142 —Instructions Need Not Instruct on Negatives
- § 39:143 —Instructions Need Not Use Verbatim Language in Federal Circuit’s Opinions
- § 39:143.50 Erroneous Jury Instruction Requires Prejudice
- § 39:144 Waiver by Failing to Include Jury Interrogatory

### **B. Specific Patent-Related Jury Instruction**

- § 39:145 Model Patent Jury Instructions
- § 39:146 Claim Construction Jury Instructions
- § 39:147 Instructing Jury on the Presumption of Validity

## **XI. MAGISTRATE’S RULINGS**

- § 39:148 Authority of Magistrate to Hear and Decide Issues
- § 39:149 Failure to Appeal Magistrate’s Ruling Waives Issue
- § 39:150 Standard of Review on Appeal

## **XII. SPECIAL MASTERS**

- § 39:151 General Aspects of Appointments of Special Masters
- § 39:152 Action on and Review of Special Master’s Recommendation, Report or Order
- § 39:153 Use of Special Masters in Patent Suits

## **XIII. ATTORNEY DISQUALIFICATIONS**

- § 39:154 District Court’s Power to Disqualify Counsel
- § 39:155 Regional Circuit Law Controls
- § 39:156 Trial Court’s Discretion
- § 39:157 Disqualification Must be Timely Sought

# LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

*Intellectual Property Law, LLP*

---

**ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.**  
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141  
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

## **A. Conflict of Interest**

- § 39:158 Firm Attacking Patent Also Prosecuted the Patent
- § 39:159 Inventor-Assignee Disputes
- § 39:160 Other Conflicts of Interest

## **B. Advocate-Witness Rule**

### **1. In General**

- § 39:161 Trial Counsel as Witness at Trial
- § 39:162 Advocate-Witness Rule Under the Model Rules of Professional Conduct
- § 39:163 Policy Objectives of the Rule
- § 39:164 Requirement of Being a “Necessary Witness”
- § 39:165 Uncontested Issue Exception
- § 39:166 Hardship Exception
- § 39:167 Bench Trials
- § 39:168 May Not Bar Representation in Pretrial Matters
- § 39:169 Does Not Apply to the *Pro Se* Attorney-Litigant
- § 39:170 Trial Attorney Acting as an Expert Witness
- § 39:171 In-house Counsel

### **2. Case Examples of Advocate-Witness Rule**

- § 39:172 Witness as to Opinion Letter Relied on to Rebut Willfulness
- § 39:173 Witness to Rebut Allegation of Inequitable Conduct
- § 39:174.50 {Reserved} Witness as to Opinion Letter Relied on to Rebut Bad-Faith Enforcement Charge

## **C. Appellate Review of Disqualifications Orders**

- § 39:174 Mandamus from Federal Circuit Not Available for Disqualification Order
- § 39:175 Denial of Disqualification Motion is Interlocutory

## **D. Disqualification in the PTO**

- § 39:176 PTO’s Authority to Disqualify Counsel