

ANNOTATED PATENT DIGEST

by Robert A. Matthews, Jr.

© 2005-07 Thomson-West, All Rights Reserved

CHAPTER 44 EVIDENTIARY ISSUES

I. PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF MOTIONS *IN LIMINE*

- § 44:1 Authority to Hear and Decide Motions *in Limine*
- § 44:2 Ruling is Preliminary and Subject to Change at Trial
- § 44:3 If Motion is Denied, Party Must Object at Trial to Preserve Issue for Appeal
- § 44:4 Interlocutory Appellate Review of a Denial of a Motion *in Limine*
- § 44:5 Motions *in Limine* Should not be Used as a Substitute for Summary Judgment
- § 44:6 Motions *in Limine* in Patent Cases

II. APPELLATE REVIEW OF EVIDENTIARY RULINGS

- § 44:7 Abuse of Discretion Standard
- § 44:8 Requirement of Prejudicial Error
- § 44:9 Choice of Law
- § 44:10 Party Introducing Evidence Challenges its Admissibility on Appeal

III. EVIDENTIARY ISSUES SPECIFIC TO PATENT LITIGATION

- § 44:11 Use of Circumstantial Evidence

A. Uncorroborated Oral Testimony to Prove Aspects of Inventions or Prior Art

- § 44:12 Uncorroborated Oral Testimony Disfavored
- § 44:13 Corroboration may Not be Required in All Cases

1. Methods of Corroboration

- § 44:14 Using Documentary Evidence to Corroborate Invention or Prior Use
- § 44:15 Orders for Unique Tools and Materials Used to Make Invention
- § 44:16 Oral Testimony of Other Witnesses
- § 44:17 Demonstration of Prior-Art Device

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 44:18 Documents Related to Proposal or Offers for Sale
- § 44:19 Assessment of Corroborating Evidence

B. Authentication of Patent-Related Evidence

1. General Aspects of Authenticating Evidence

- § 44:20 General Requirement for Authentication Under F.R.E. 901
- § 44:21 Admissibility of Duplicates
- § 44:22 Summary Exhibits and Underlying Data – F.R.E. 1006
- § 44:23 Handwriting

2. Evidence of Translations or Interpretations of Foreign Language

- § 44:24 Translations of Documents Written in Foreign Language
- § 44:25 Interpreters of Oral Testimony Given in a Foreign Language

3. Authentication of Papers Maintained in the U.S. Patent Office

- § 44:26 Authentication of U.S. Patents, File Histories, and other PTO Papers
- § 44:27 Authentication of Foreign Patents Maintained in PTO
- § 44:28 General Aspects of Taking Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts
- § 44:29 Judicial Notice of PTO Papers
- § 44:30 Judicial Notice of Prior Art
- § 44:31 Examples of Judicial Notice for Miscellaneous Matters

C. Admissibility of Infringer's Own Patent

- § 44:32 Must be Issued Over Patent-In-Suit or be Prior Art
- § 44:33 Relevance to Literal Infringement Analysis
- § 44:34 Relevance to Doctrine of Equivalents Analysis
- § 44:35 Relevance to Disprove Willful Infringement
- § 44:36 Prejudicial Effect Under Rule 403 for a Tendency to Confuse Jury
- § 44:37 Entitlement to New Trial for Improper Admission

IV. ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY

A. General Aspects on Admissibility of Expert Testimony

- § 44:38 District Court's Discretion Regarding Expert Testimony
- § 44:39 Courts' Recognized Shortcomings in Issues of Scientific Fact
- § 44:40 F.R.E. 701 – Lay Witness Opinion Testimony

1. Admissibility of Expert Testimony

- § 44:41 F.R.E. 702 and *Daubert* Factors
- § 44:42 Expert Testimony Should Not be Excluded Based on Skepticism of the Ultimate Opinion
- § 44:43 Proponent Must Prove Admissibility by Preponderance of the Evidence
- § 44:44 Admissibility of Facts Forming Basis of Opinion
- § 44:45 Expert’s May Base Opinion on Conflicting Version of the Facts
- § 44:46 Expert’s Opinion Must Have a Supportable Factual Basis
- § 44:47 Expert Does Not Always Have to Observe First Hand
- § 44:48 Expert Testimony Not Always Needed
- § 44:49 Computer Models
- § 44:50 *Ex parte* Testing
- § 44:51 —Cases Ruling *Ex Parte* Test Admissible
- § 44:52 —Cases Refusing to Admit *Ex Parte* Test or Giving it No Weight
- § 44:53 Experts May Opine on the Ultimate Issue
- § 44:54 Experts Should Not Testify to Legal Opinions
- § 44:55 F.R.E. 705 – Expert Need Not Testify to Underlying Facts

B. “Patent-Law” Experts

- § 44:56 General Confines on Using Patent-Law Experts

1. Admissibility of Patent-Law Expert’s Opinions on Claim Construction

- § 44:57 Admissibility of Patent-Law Expert’s Opinion on Claim Construction

2. Admissibility of Patent-Law Expert’s Opinions on Validity Challenges

- § 44:58 Patent-Law Expert Generally Not Permitted to Testify on Validity
- § 44:59 Patent-Law Expert May Testify as to PTO Procedure
- § 44:60 —Prohibited from Testifying as to General Problems in Examination Process

**3. Admissibility of Patent-Law Expert’s Opinions
on Infringement and Willfulness**

- § 44:61 Case Examples on Whether Patent-Law Expert Could Testify to Issues Relating to Infringement
- § 44:61.50 —Case Examples on Whether Patent-Law Expert Could Testify to Issues of Prosecution History Estoppel

4. Admissibility of Patent-Law Expert's Opinions on Unenforceability

- § 44:62 Inequitable Conduct and Other Unenforceability Defenses
- § 44:63 Cases Permitting Patent-Law Expert's Testimony on Inequitable Conduct
- § 44:64 Cases Refusing to Permit Patent-Law Expert's Testimony on Inequitable Conduct

C. Technical and Damage Experts in Patent Cases

- § 44:65 Technical Experts Can be of Extraordinary Skill in the Art

1. Admissibility of Technical Expert's Opinions on Claim Construction

- § 44:66 General Aspects of Admissibility of Technical Expert Testimony on Claim Construction
- § 44:67 —Cases Excluding Technical Expert Testimony on Claim Construction
- § 44:68 —Cases Permitting Technical Expert Testimony on Claim Construction

2. Admissibility of Technical Expert's Opinions on Infringement

- § 44:69 General Aspects of Admissibility of Technical Expert Testimony on Infringement
- § 44:70 —Case Examples Permitting Technical Expert Testimony on the Issue of Infringement
- § 44:71 —Case Examples Excluding Technical Expert Testimony on the Issue of Infringement
- § 44:72 —Cases Examples Addressing Willful Infringement

3. Admissibility of Technical Expert's Opinions on Validity

- § 44:73 General Aspects of Admissibility of Technical Expert Testimony on Patent Validity
- § 44:74 Anticipation
- § 44:75 Obviousness
- § 44:76 § 112 Compliance

4. Admissibility of Technical Expert's Opinions on Inequitable Conduct

- § 44:77 Inequitable Conduct

5. Admissibility of Technical Expert's Opinions on Damages

- § 44:78 General Aspects of Admissibility of Technical Expert Testimony on Patent Damages

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 44:79 —Cases Admitting Damage Expert’s Opinions
- § 44:80 —Cases Excluding Damage Expert’s Opinion

V. OTHER FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE

- § 44:81 Objections and Offers of Proof

A. Relevancy and Admissibility of Specific Types of Evidence in Patent Matters

- § 44:82 Relevancy and Rule 401
- § 44:83 Admissibility Under Rule 402
- § 44:84 Prelitigation License Offers
- § 44:85 Accusations that Patentee is a “Monopolist” or Acting with Anticompetitive Behavior
- § 44:86 Admissions of “Substantially Equivalent” or “Bioequivalent” in FDA Submissions
- § 44:87 Prior Court Rulings

B. Excluding Unduly Prejudicial or Misleading Evidence

- § 44:88 General Aspects of Rule 403
- § 44:89 Excluding Demonstrative Exhibits Under Rule 403
- § 44:90 Evidence of “Copying”
- § 44:91 Prejudicial Remarks in Openings, Closing, or Questioning

C. Other Exclusionary Rules

- § 44:92 Subsequent Remedial Measures Under Rule 407
- § 44:93 Settlement Negotiations Under Rule 408

D. Hearsay and its Exceptions

- § 44:94 General Aspects to Hearsay
- § 44:95 Printed Publications as Prior Art
- § 44:95.50 Hearsay and PTO Papers
- § 44:96 Admissions of Party Opponent
- § 44:97 —Adoptive Admissions
- § 44:98 —Admissions Made in Foreign Patent Offices
- § 44:99 —Admissions Not Conclusive
- § 44:100 Verbal Acts
- § 44:101 —Statements of Corroborating Witnesses on Conception

LATIMER, MAYBERRY & MATTHEWS

Intellectual Property Law, LLP

ROBERT A. MATTHEWS, JR.
Direct Dial: 434-525-1141
e-mail: robert.matthews@latimerIP.com

- § 44:102 —Admissibility of Assignments and License Agreements
- § 44:103 F.R.E. 803(6) – Business Records Hearsay Exception
- § 44:104 F.R.E. 807 – “Catch All” Exception

VI. WITNESSES

- § 44:105 Sequestering Witnesses – F.R.E. 615
- § 44:106 Paying Fact Witness
- § 44:107 Contingent Fees to Testifying Expert Witnesses